

## U.S. Department of Justice

Immigration and Naturalization Service

OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS 425 Eye Street N.W. ULLB, 3rd Floor Washington, D.C. 20536



lauriditying data deleted to prevent clearly unwarranted of porsenal privaty

File:

LIN 00 115 50566

Office: NEBRASKA SERVICE CENTER

Date: JAN 14 2002

IN RE: Petitioner:

Beneficiary:

Petition: Petition for a Nonimmigrant Worker Pursuant to Section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the Immigration and Nationality

Act, 8 U.S.C. 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b)

## IN BEHALF OF PETITIONER:



## PUBLIC COPY

## **INSTRUCTIONS:**

This is the decision in your case. All documents have been returned to the office which originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied or the analysis used in reaching the decision was inconsistent with the information provided or with precedent decisions, you may file a motion to reconsider. Such a motion must state the reasons for reconsideration and be supported by any pertinent precedent decisions. Any motion to reconsider must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider, as required under 8 C.F.R. 103.5(a)(1)(i).

If you have new or additional information which you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reopen. Such a motion must state the new facts to be proved at the reopened proceeding and be supported by affidavits or other documentary evidence. Any motion to reopen must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reopen, except that failure to file before this period expires may be excused in the discretion of the Service where it is demonstrated that the delay was reasonable and beyond the control of the applicant or petitioner. Id.

Any motion must be filed with the office which originally decided your case along with a fee of \$110 as required under 8 C.F.R. 103.7.

FOR THE ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER,

Robert P. Wiemann, Director Administrative Appeals Office **DISCUSSION:** The nonimmigrant visa petition was denied by the Director, Nebraska Service Center, and the matter is now before the Associate Commissioner for Examinations on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The petitioner is a firm that operates a number of retail supermarkets with 90 employees and has a gross annual income of \$25,000,000. It seeks to employ the beneficiary as an accountant for a three-year period. The director denied the petition finding that the petitioner had not submitted a certified labor condition application from the Department of Labor. The petition was filed with the Service on March 7, 2000.

On appeal, counsel submits a labor condition application that was certified by the Department of Labor on October 11, 2000.

In denying the petition, the Director relied on the regulation at 8 C.F.R. 214.2(h)(4)(i)(B)(1). That regulation provides that, before filing a petition for H-1B classification, the petitioner shall obtain a certification from the Department of Labor that it has filed a labor condition application in the occupational specialty in which the alien will be employed.

Upon review, counsel for the petitioner has not overcome the basis for the director's decision. The labor condition application was not certified by the Department of Labor before the petition was filed with the Service. The record reflects that the petition was filed with the Service on March 7, 2000 and the labor condition application was certified by the Department of Labor on October 11, 2000. As a result, the petitioner has not complied with the regulation at 8 C.F.R. 214.2(h)(4)(i)(B)(1) and the petition may not be approved.

The burden of proof in these proceedings rests solely with the petitioner. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. 1361. The petitioner has not sustained that burden.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed.